1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Officed States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:20-CR-00175-ADA-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO SET CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING; ORDER
13	v.	HEARING, ORDER
14	ORENA RAMIREZ,	
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. Ana de Alba
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	1. The government, by and through United States Attorney Phillip A. Talbert and Assistant	
19	United States Attorney Justin J. Gilio, and the defendant Lorena Ramirez, by and through her counsel o	
20	record, Steven Crawford, hereby stipulate as follows.	
21	2. The parties have reached a plea agreement in this case.	
22	3. The parties ask that the matter as to defendant Francisco Ramirez be set for a change of	
23	plea before the Honorable Ana de Alba on March 27, 2023, at 8:30 AM.	
24	4. The proposed change of plea date represents the earliest date that all counsel are	
25	available, taking into account counsels' schedules, defense counsels' commitments to other clients, and	
26	the court's available dates for a change of plea hearing. In addition, the public health concerns cited by	
27	General Orders 611, 612, 617, 618, and 620 and subsequent general orders presented by the evolving	
28	COVID-19 pandemic, an ends-of-justice delay is particularly apt in this case because counsel or other	

## Case 1:20-cr-00175-ADA-BAM Document 226 Filed 02/13/23 Page 2 of 3

relevant individuals have been encouraged to telework and minimize personal contact to the greatest 1 2 extent possible. 3 Counsel for the defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested a) 4 continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking 5 into account the exercise of due diligence. 6 b) The government does not object to the continuance. 7 Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the c) 8 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the 9 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act. 10 d) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, 11 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 10, 2023, to March 27, 12 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\sqrt{3161(h)(7)(A)}\), B(iv) because it 13 results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the 14 15 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 16 5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the 17 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 18 must commence. 19 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 20 Dated: February 10, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT 21 United States Attorney 22 /s/ Justin J. Gilio 23 JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney 24 25 Dated: February 10, 2023 /s/Steven Crawford 26 Steven Crawford Counsel for Defendant 27 LORENO RAMIREZ 28

## Case 1:20-cr-00175-ADA-BAM Document 226 Filed 02/13/23 Page 3 of 3

1 2

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 10, 2023

JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE